HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysis		3/25/97	HB 1266 Oliveira, Williams (CSHB 1266 by Elkins)
SUBJECT:	Using Texas real estate loan provisions for loans on property in Mexico		
COMMITTEE:	Financial Institutions — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	8 ayes — Marchant, Ehrhardt, Elkins, Giddings, Grusendorf, Patterson, Smith, Solomons		
	0 nays		
	1 absent — Gutierrez		
WITNESSES:	For — Hector Barraza, Stewart Title Guaranty Co., Kevin Garcia Against — None		
	On — Leslie Pettijohn, Office of the Consumer Credit Commissioner		
DIGEST:	HB 1266 would permit loans to be used to purchase an interest in a trust outside of the United States so long as the principal asset of the trust was real property located outside the United States.		
	estate transactions, bu installment loans. The	ct to normal interest provision t not consumer credit provision e loan would be subject to a c non-homestead property.	ions used for regulated or
	HB 1266 would take immediate effect if finally approved by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of both houses.		
SUPPORTERS SAY:	through regular finance countries. The bill is a of property by foreign "prohibited zones" of miles from a border — property. Currently, in areas of Mexico, finan	Texans to secure loans at n cing channels to buy propert necessary because of the wa ers. Foreigners can purchas Mexico — within 30 miles – only by purchasing a trust f a U.S. citizen wishes to pu neing sources are limited to to y only provide short-term lo	y in Mexico and other y Mexico treats purchases be real estate in the from any coastline and 60 that contains the real trehase property in those the seller or a Mexican

HB 1266 House Research Organization page 2

often require up to 25 percent of the purchase price as a down payment. Mexican banks can charge interest rates at over 30 percent. Neither financing method gives U.S. citizens the same protections that they would receive if the loan were made in Texas.

Under current law, Texas lenders are unlikely to make loans for this type of purchase. The loans are subject to consumer credit provisions, not real estate loan provisions, because there is no transfer of the title of real estate and lenders are not able to charge normal fees associated with real estate transactions such as appraisal, survey or title insurance fees. HB 1266 would place these transactions under real estate law provisions, where they would normally fall if not for the unusual way Mexico structures property purchases by foreigners.

HB 1266 would promote investment in our neighboring country and biggest trade partner. It would ensure that such investments retain the same protections as loans made for property in Texas. Lenders would be allowed to assess any risks when deciding to make such loans.

The bill has not been limited to investment only in Mexico in order to allow for real estate trust purchases in other counties that might use this convention to structure property purchases by foreign investors now or in the future. Lenders would be free to structure the terms of the loan to conform with the risk of purchasing property in a foreign country; HB 1266 would not require them to assume any risk that they might not want to take on.

OPPONENTS SAY: Although HB 1266 originally may have been meant to remedy a specific problem with Mexican foreign investment law, the provisions of the bill as it currently stands could be used throughout the entire world. This might encourage lenders to take unnecessary risks by making loans for properties in countries with unstable governments or shaky economies. Texas lending institutions have just recently emerged from trying times; it would be unwise to encourage a return to risky behavior.

HB 1266 House Research Organization page 3

NOTES: The committee substitute reformatted HB 1266 to conform to the style of the Texas Legislative Council.

The companion bill, SB 854 by Patterson, is currently pending in the Senate Economic Development Committee.