HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 5/9/97	HB 2059 Alvarado	
SUBJECT:	Motor vehicle liability for county law enforcement officers		
COMMITTEE:	County Affairs — favorable, with amendment		
VOTE:	7 ayes — R. Lewis, Kamel, Bonnen, Christian, Denny, Flores, G	. Lewis	
	0 nays		
	2 absent — Chisum, Gutierrez		
WITNESSES:	For — Charlie Campos, Jr., and Jose A. Moreno, Jr., Bexar Cour Connell; Mark Hodgkinson; Matthew Marshall	o, Jr., Bexar County; Jerry	
	Against — Mary Kay Misco, Bexar County Commissioners Cour	rt	
BACKGROUND :	Counties with populations greater than 1.4 million are required to insure sheriffs, constables and their full-time deputies against liability to third persons arising from the operation of a county-owned or leased motor vehicle. The law applies to Harris and Dallas counties.		
DIGEST:	HB 2059, as amended, would expend the population bracket of the include counties with populations greater than 1.185 million, which include Bexar County.		
	These counties also would be required to insure sheriffs, constabl time deputies against liability arising from the operation of privat motor vehicles to the extent they were used to perform county bu law enforcement duties.	ely owned	
	CSHB 2059 would take effect September 1, 1997.	1997.	
SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 2059 would close a gap in Texas law. Some law enforcer officers are required use their own personal cars as patrol cars for business, but the jurisdictions are not required to provide liability for these officers. CSHB 1585 would establish equity under the ensuring that county law enforcement officers were covered again party liability while operating their own personal cars to conduct business.	heir own personal cars as patrol cars for county ions are not required to provide liability insurance 1585 would establish equity under the law by enforcement officers were covered against third	

HB 2059 House Research Organization page 2

The bill also would equitably expand the reach of this law to include Bexar County, which operates under similar conditions as Harris and Dallas counties.

OPPONENTS CSHB 1585 would be another unfunded state mandate that would be costly for municipalities and counties that do not currently provide motor vehicle insurance coverage. In addition, because officers use their personal cars for a variety of tasks, it would be difficult to discern if an officer was off duty or on duty when involved in a motor vehicle accident.

NOTES: The committee amendment would change the population bracket from 1 million to 1.185 million, excluding Tarrant County.

The companion bill, SB 1181 by Madla, has been referred to the Senate Intergovernmental Relations Committee.

A related bill, HB 1585 by Hirschi, requiring political subdivisions to provide liability insurance for law enforcement officers using county vehicles while off duty, passed the House on April 30 and has been referred to the Senate Intergovernmental Relations Committee.