11/16/97

HB 2812 Woolley (CSHB 2812 by Goolsby)

SUBJECT: Including performance pay for teacher retirement benefits

COMMITTEE: Pensions and Investments — committee substitute recommended

VOTE: 8 ayes — Telford, Woolley, Goolsby, Rangel, Sadler, Serna, Tillery,

Williams

0 nays

1 absent — Berlanga

WITNESSES: For — Paula Arnold; Robby Collins, Dallas Independent School District;

Rod Paige, House Independent School District

Against — None

BACKGROUND The Dallas and Houston independent school districts pay extra

compensation to teachers for meeting certain goals such as higher student achievement scores, outstanding attendance records, and higher professional development levels. This performance pay is in addition to the minimum

state-mandated compensation.

The state employer contribution to the Teacher Retirement System (TRS) is calculated on the state pay scale. School districts are required to pay the employer contribution to the TRS on any additional compensation given

teachers beyond the basic pay schedule.

DIGEST: CSHB 2812 would allow school districts to include performance pay

awarded school district employees as part of the total compensation plan on which school districts make employer contributions to TRS, if approved by

the school district trustees.

The bill would take immediate effect if finally approved by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house and would apply to the

1997/98 school year.

HB 2812 House Research Organization page 2

SUPPORTERS SAY:

CSHB 2812 would ensure that teachers who earn performance pay can apply those earnings towards the total compensation package used to calculate their future retirement benefits.

The local district would be required to pay the six percent employer contribution for the performance pay because the pay would be above the state-mandated minimum salary schedule, therefore having no fiscal implications for the state budget. Districts would have the option to make these payments if approved by the local board of trustees; HB 2812 would authorize, not mandate, these payments.

OPPONENTS SAY:

No apparent opposition.

NOTES:

The committee substitute would have the total compensation package approved by the "board of trustees" rather than the "school board."

The companion bill, SB 1287 by Gallegos, was reported favorably by the Senate Education Committee on April 11 and recommended for the Local and Uncontested Calendar.