RESEARCH		SB 316 Madla (Nixon)
SUBJECT:	Restrictions on location of community homes	
COMMITTEE:	Human Services — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	5 ayes — Hilderbran, Naishtat, Davila, McReynolds, Wohlgemuth	
	2 nays — Christian, Maxey	
	2 absent — Chavez, Krusee	
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, February 26 — 31-0	
WITNESSES:	(<i>On House companion, HB 280</i>) For — Marie Wisdom, Advocates for Nursing Home Reform; Scott City of Houston; Alan Hardy, American Association for Retired Pe	
	Against — None	
BACKGROUND :	The Human Resources Code provides that a community home for d persons may not be established within one-half mile of an existing community home.	lisabled
DIGEST:	SB 316 would rephrase the statute to provide that establishment of a community home within one-half mile of an existing community how would be prohibited.	
	The bill would take immediate effect if finally approved by a two-th record vote of the membership in each house.	nirds
SUPPORTERS SAY:	SB 316 is necessary to clarify the legislative intent of the statute. A court recently interpreted the provision as being permissive in natura although the intent of the Legislature was to prohibit the establishm new community home within one-half mile of an existing community. The purpose of this restriction was to integrate the disabled into corneighborhoods; having more than one community home within a haradius would defeat this intent. Furthermore, it is unlikely that very rural towns would need more than one community home.	e, ent of a ity home. nmunity alf mile

SB 316 House Research Organization page 2

OPPONENTS There are rural towns in Texas that are less than a mile in diameter that should not be under this restriction in case they needed more than one community home.