HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysisHB 2179 4/24/2001HB 2179 Hochberg, Crabb		
SUBJECT:	Providing indemnity for prison chaplains and spiritual advisors	
COMMITTEE:	Civil Practices — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	7 ayes — Bosse, Clark, Dutton, Hope, Martinez Fischer, Nixon, Smithee 0 nays	
	2 absent — Janek, Zbranek	
WITNESSES: For — None		
	Against — None	
	On — Carl Reynolds, Texas Department of Criminal Justic	ce
BACKGROUND:	Civil Practices and Remedies Code, sec. 104.001 determin is responsible for paying damages awarded against persons they took in their capacity as a state employee or represent paying for their legal defense.	sued for actions
DIGEST:	HB 2179 would add prison chaplains or spiritual advisors to people whom the state could indemnify, provided that the performing services under contract with the Texas Departm Justice (TDCJ), the Texas Youth Commission, or the Texas Probation Commission at the time of the act or omission or was based.	person was aent of Criminal as Juvenile
	The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would September 1, 2001.	
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 2179 would ensure that ministers, rabbis, and other spi employed on a contract basis to serve in the state's correct would continue to do so. Many spiritual advisors are contra employees, serving on an as-needed basis because member only a small portion of the correctional facility population. earn only a few thousand dollars a year. If an inmate sues a instance, for refusing to certify that the inmate is of a faith	ional facilities actors, not rs of their faith are These advisors an advisor — for

## HB 2179 House Research Organization page 2

dietary restrictions — defending such a suit could cost more than the advisor's annual earnings from the correctional facility. One rabbi has been sued twice in the past several years. Even one suit could deter spiritual advisors from serving in correctional facilities.

On the other hand, the suits are not so frequent that the state would incur great additional expense by defending and indemnifying these advisors. In fact, the spiritual advisor rarely would be the only defendant, and since the attorney general typically would be defending other state employees and TDCJ itself, defending the spiritual advisor as well would cause little additional cost. As for damages, the state would be liable only if the court found that the spiritual advisor acted improperly and violated an inmate's rights, which would be much less likely for this category of defendants.

Other professionals who contract to provide services at Texas' correctional facilities, such as physicians and psychiatrists, already are entitled to be defended and indemnified by the state. Treating spiritual advisors differently is not justifiable and could be harmful for inmates who were denied their services as a result.

## OPPONENTSThe indemnity and defense rights that HB 2179 would provide could createSAY:significant new potential liabilities for the state.

NOTES: The bill's fiscal note indicates that it would have no significant fiscal implication for the state.