HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysis 5/7/2001 (0		HB 2561 Dunnam (CSHB 2561 by Dunnam)
SUBJECT:	Offense for misuse of state funds by charter school officials	
COMMITTEE:	Criminal Jurisprudence — committee substitute recommended	
VOTE:	5 ayes — Hinojosa, Dunnam, Garcia, Kitchen, Martinez Fischer	
	1 nay — Green	
	3 absent — Keel, Talton, Shields	
WITNESSES:	For — <i>Registered but did not testify:</i> Marjorie Wall, Texas State Teachers Association; Melody Chatelle, Texas Classroom Teachers Association Against — None	
DIGEST:	CSHB 2561 would make it a criminal offense for members of the governing body of an open-enrollment charter school, a charter holder, or any other person, with intent to obtain a benefit, knowingly to misuse property, services, personnel, assets, credit, funds, or any other thing of value obtained with state funds received by the charter school or charter holder. The seriousness of the offense would increase as the value of the thing misused increased. An offense would be a:	
	 Class C misdemeanor (maximum fine or \$20; Class B misdemeanor (up to 180 days in \$2,000) if the value was at least \$20 but Class A misdemeanor (up to one year in \$4,000) if the value was at least \$500 but state-jail felony (180 days to two years in of up to \$10,000) if the value was at least \$20 but third-degree felony (two to 10 years in p to \$10,000) if the value was at least \$20 second-degree felony (two to 20 years in up to \$10,000) if the value was at least \$20 	n jail and/or a maximum fine of t less than \$500; n jail and/or a maximum fine of ut less than \$1,500; in a state jail and an optional fine ast \$1,500 but less than \$20,000; prison and an optional fine of up 0,000 but less than \$100,000; n prison and an optional fine of

HB 2561 House Research Organization page 2

first-degree felony (life in prison or a sentence of five to 99 years and an optional fine of up to \$10,000) if the value was \$200,000 or more. The bill would take effect September 1, 2001. **SUPPORTERS** CSHB 2561 is necessary to ensure that charter school officials do not misuse funds meant for the schools. In one case, a charter school allegedly used SAY: funds it received from the state to enhance bingo prizes. Because the funds cease to be state funds when received by the charter schools, current law relating to the misuse of funds by school officials does not apply. The term "misuse" is well defined in case law, and CSHB 2561 would apply the same penalties to charter school officials who misuse state funds as are applied to public school officials. **OPPONENTS** Because the term "misuse" is vague and not defined, CSHB 2561 would be SAY: too broad and could allow prosecution of charter schools officials simply because of disagreements about how money was spent. NOTES: The committee substitute added "any other person" to the list of those who could commit an offense and added assets, credit, and funds to the list of things that could be subject to misuse.