| HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION b | ill analysis | 5/3/2007 | HB 3168 Swinford (CSHB 3168 by Anderson) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| SUBJECT: | Incentives for and the regulation of biofuel production and distribution | | |
| COMMITTEE: | Agriculture and Livestock — committee substitute recommended | | |
| VOTE: | 6 ayes — Miller, Anderson, B. Brown, Aycock, Garcia, Heflin | | |
| | 0 nays | | |
| | 1 absent — Gallego | | |
| WITNESSES: | Herbert, National Bio Fuels/Biodiesel Coal | lustries/Biodiesel Coali | |
| | Against — Rachel Fischer, Public Citizen | | |
| | David Schanbacher, | Texas Oil and Gas Asso Texas Commission on I not testify: Steve Perry, | |
| BACKGROUND: | Protection Agency (E ozone in non-attainm Implementation Plan these areas. Much of | ent and near non-attain (SIP) outlines Texas's | ds to reduce ground-level ment areas. The State trategy to reach compliance in reduction of nitrogen oxide |
| | distributes grants am biodiesel produced. A million gallons of fue of the Agriculture Co vegetable oils, anima requirements. Fuel et | ounting to 20 cents for of A producer may not rece el ethanol or biodiesel p ode, biodisel is defined a al fats or renewable lipio chanol consists of ethyl ced exclusively from ag | etion incentive program each gallon of fuel ethanol and eive grants for more than 18 roduced. As outlined in ch. 16 as a substance derived from ds, meeting certain alcohol with at least 99 gricultural products or by- |

HB 3168 House Research Organization page 2

| DIGEST: | CSHB 3168 would prohibit a state agency from imposing a more stringent rule than federal law to restrict production or distribution of biodiesel or fuel ethanol. | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | After May 1, 2009, the prohibition would not apply to a rule of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) if the commission determined that emissions generated by fuel ethanol or biodiesel jeopardized SIP compliance. | | |
| | The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2007. | | |
| SUPPORTERS SAY: | CSHB 3168 would promote the continued use of biodiesel but enable future restrictions on its use if studies showed that biodiesel served as a source of NOx emissions. Biodiesel represents a safe, renewable, and biodegradable fuel source that can be locally produced. Due to its many benefits, certain state programs promote the production and distribution of biodiesel. However, concern exists that biodiesel emissions may increase NOx levels and reduce the state's ability to comply with its SIP. The bill would seek a balance between these competing concerns. | | |
| | By prohibiting enactment of more stringent rules over the next two years, CSHB 3168 would promote biodiesel production in the short term. This would be consistent with the state's encouragement of biodiesel production through the fuel ethanol and biodiesel incentive production program. Even with such a program, Texas is falling behind other states in fuel ethanol and biodiesel production. The promotion and distribution of biodiesel should not be curtailed, as this renewable fuel type provides a reliable means of meeting the growing demand for fuel. The reduction of harmful air contaminant emissions, other than NOx, serves as another benefit of biodiesel over conventional fuel. | | |
| | If biodiesel emissions prove d to have an adverse impact on NOx levels, TCEQ could regulate accordingly in 2009. Moreover, if the federal government imposed stricter standards on biodiesel or fuel ethanol in the meantime, TCEQ also could adopt more stringent requirements. The EPA believes biodiesel may increase NOx levels. This poses special concern in near non-attainment and non-attainment areas, where efforts are underway | | |

to reduce NOx emissions. Due to the state's effort to meet its SIP, TCEQ often must impose strict air emissions standards. As the effect of biodiesel

HB 3168 House Research Organization page 3

on NOx emissions remains undetermined, testing is underway to determine the impact of biodiesel on NOx levels. Answers are expected within the next two years, coinciding with the date included in CSHB 3168 on which TCEQ would be permitted to regulate biodiesel usage accordingly.

OPPONENTS If evidence existed that biodiesel may negatively impact ground-level SAY: ozone, its use and the incentives for its production and distribution should be terminated. Complying with SIP requirements is an important state objective that should not compromised.