| HOUSE<br>RESEARCH<br>ORGANIZATION bill analysis |  | 5/7/2007  | HB 3298<br>Callegari, Flynn<br>(CSHB 3298 by Callegari)   |
|---|--|---|---|
| SUBJECT:  | City and special dis   | strict exemption from u   | unfunded disabled voter access  |
| COMMITTEE:                                      | Government Reform — committee substitute recommended   |   |   |
| VOTE:   | 6 ayes — Callegari, Pitts, Berman, Leibowitz, Miles, Rodriguez   |   |   |
|   | 0 nays   |   |   |
|   | 1 absent — W. Sm   | ith   |   |
| WITNESSES:                                      | For — Bennett Sandlin, Texas Municipal League; ( <i>Registered, but did not testify:</i> Darrin Hall, City of Houston) |   |   |
|   | Against — None   |   |   |
|   |  | is, Texas State Associa<br>I not testify: Johnny Vi   | ation of Fire Fighters;<br>illarreal, Houston Fire Fighters   |
| BACKGROUND:                                     | each polling place i<br>with sec. 504 of the<br>794) and Title II of<br>sec. 12131 et seq.).                           | must provide at least o<br>e federal Rehabilitation<br>the federal Americans<br>The voting station mu | no later than January 1, 2006,<br>one voting station that complies<br>on Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. sec.<br>is with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C.<br>ist provide a practical and<br>disabilities to cast a secret ballot. |
|   | 15481(a)(3)(B) req<br>system accessible to<br>accessibility for the  | o individuals with disa<br>blind and visually im<br>ty for access and partic                          | AVA), 42 U.S.C. sec.<br>te to have at least one voting<br>ibilities, including non-visual<br>paired, in a manner that provides<br>cipation, including privacy and   |
|   | municipal utility di<br>district created und<br>Constitution. Sec. 4   | strict, water control an<br>er Art. 3, sec. 52 or An<br>30.003 prohibits a cou                        | defines a special district as a<br>ad improvement district, or other<br>rt. 16 sec. 59 of the Texas<br>anty, municipality, or utility<br>cy or public higher education  |

## HB 3298 House Research Organization page 2

|                    | institution a fee for the development or maintenance of programs of facilities for the control of excess water or storm water.  |
|--------------------|---|
| DIGEST:            | CSHB 3209 would amend Election Code 61.012 to provide that for an election of a city or a special district, the use of a voting station that met accessibility requirements under the federal Help America Vote Act would not be required, except when the election were held jointly with another in which a federal office was on the ballot or unless the city or special district received state or federal funds sufficient to pay for all costs associated with providing the voting station.   |
|                    | The bill also would repeal sec. 430.003, Local Government Code.   |
|                    | The bill would take effect September 1, 2007.   |
| SUPPORTERS<br>SAY: | CSHB 3298 is needed to give some relief to Texas cities and special districts from unfunded mandates. Various laws impose mandates on cities and special districts, but provide no funding to meet the requirements. These mandates increase the costs of everyday functions for cities and districts, including election costs.  |
|                    | All counties in Texas are required to have electronic voting machines, and<br>they received federal funding to make the initial purchase. Smaller<br>political subdivisions like cities, school districts, and MUDs have not<br>received any funding for the machines. Some municipalities bought the<br>voting systems, which increased the cost of their elections by thousands of<br>dollars, only to find out that they were under-utilized or not used at all.<br>Election officials always have provided accommodations for disabled<br>voters and will continue to provide those services. |
|                    | Likewise, state agencies and universities are not required to pay for water<br>drainage services that cities and special districts provide. CSHB 3298<br>would allow cities and special districts to collect fees for those services.   |
| OPPONENTS<br>SAY:  | This bill would undo many years of hard-fought efforts to secure voting rights for the disabled to have a chance to vote a private ballot. The bill would establish a stair-step process that would send a message that polling places did not have to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and it could open the door for more cities that do not want to pay the expense of electronic voting stations to be relieved of that duty. Some disabled voters would not be able to cast a private ballot, resulting in the   |

## HB 3298 House Research Organization page 3

city's being vulnerable to ADA lawsuits. A large number of disabled voters are visually impaired, and this technology has affected them the most by allowing them, for the first time, to vote a private ballot without having someone read it aloud to them. This includes the elderly who are losing their eyesight and voters who are unable to read.

NOTES: Two bills would address similar issues. HB 1031 by Chisum, which would allow a city with a population of 5,000 or less to be exempt from the voting accessibility requirement, passed the House by 126-17 on May 1 and has been referred to the Senate State Affairs Committee. SB 1776 by Duncan would create a formula finding an undue burden and would establish ways to make reasonable accommodations for disabled voters, passed the Senate on the Local and Uncontested Calendar on April 26 and has been referred to the House Elections Committee.