HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION 1	nill analysis	4/4/2007	HB 362 Eissler (CSHB 362 by Eissler)	
	Jiii anary sis	4/4/2007	(CSTID 502 by Lissier)	
SUBJECT:	Allowing school employees to communicate with school board members			
COMMITTEE:	Public Education — committee substitute recommended			
VOTE:	7 ayes — Eissler, Zedler, Delisi, Dutton, Hochberg, Mowery, Patrick			
	0 nays			
	2 absent — Branch, Olivo			
WITNESSES:	For — Lindsay Gustafson, Texas Classroom Teachers Association; ( <i>Registered, but did not testify:</i> Jennifer M. Canaday, Association of Texas Professional Educators)			
	Against —None			
	On — Ted Melin	a Raab, Texas Federation of	Teachers	
BACKGROUND:	in school districts	sec. 11.163 governs the ado s, including the roles of scho n establishing those policies		
DIGEST:	districts from cre ability to commu matters related to restricting inappr hearings, includin	copriate <i>ex parte</i> communicang those governed by Educa erm contracts) and F (teache	hat restricted an employee's board members regarding a would not apply to policies tions related to certain tion Code, ch. 21, subch. E	
		ke immediate effect if finall e membership of each house 1, 2007.		
SUPPORTERS SAY:	members and to p		munication with school board mployees. Currently, school ment policies that either	

## HB 362 House Research Organization page 2

	discourage or prohibit direct communication with school board members. School board members, like other elected officials, are accountable to those who elected them, and restrictive communication policies are inconsistent with the constitutional right of school employees to address their elected representatives.
	As policymakers, school board members create polices that directly affect school employees, and those board members should receive unfiltered information about what is occurring on the campuses they represent. CSHB 362 would allow school employees to remain a valuable resource to school board members. Communication from employees can provide a good system of checks and balances within a district when school boards respond properly. Employees often may provide viewpoints to a school board that the "chain of command" cannot.
OPPONENTS SAY:	CSHB 362 could impede a principal or superintendent's capacity to resolve problems at the campus level. School board members serve to develop policy and not to address individual employee grievances.
	Employment policies discouraging direct communication with school board members are not intended to deny constitutional rights but to honor protocol and allow administrators the opportunity to investigate specific concerns. School board members interfering with this process could create unnecessary management challenges for school administrators.
OTHER OPPONENTS SAY:	Since no state law denies school employees the right to communicate directly with school board members, CSHB 362 would do no more than codify what already is permissible under the law.
NOTES:	The committee substitute removed a provision in the original version of HB 362 that would have permitted policies to restrict school employees from communicating with school board members during working hours of the district or the operating hours of the campus.
	The companion bill, SB 135 by Wentworth, identical to CSHB 382, passed the Senate by 30-0 on March 8 and was reported favorably, without amendment, by the House Public Education Committee on March 27, making it eligible to be considered in lieu of HB 362.