HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION t	oill analysis	5/7/2007	HB 428 Madden (CSHB 428 by Madden)
SUBJECT:	Enabling the inspector general of TDCJ to issue administrative subpoenas		
COMMITTEE:	Corrections — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	5 ayes — Madden, Hochberg, McReynolds, Haggerty, Jones		gerty, Jones
	0 nays		
	2 absent — Dunnam, Oliveira		
WITNESSES: For — None			
	Against — None		
	On — John Moriarty, TDCJ - Office of the Investigator General		
BACKGROUND:	Penal Code, sec. 38.11 governs offenses related to possessing contraband in a corrections facility or providing contraband to an inmate. Under sec. 38.11(j), it is a criminal offense for an offender to posses a cell phone in a correctional facility. Providing a cell phone to an inmate is an offense under $38.11(a)(3)$.		
DIGEST:	CSHB 428 would add Government Code, sec. 493.0191 to allow of TDCJ to issue an administrative subpoena to a communications common carrier or an electronic communications service to comp carrier or service to produce records pertinent to the carrier or service ustomers or other service users. These records would have to be a criminal investigation of a potential escape, the possession or proof contraband in a secure correctional facility, or other offense inv TDCJ inmates or operations.		communications service to compel the he carrier or service's yould have to be related to possession or provision
	that provides com customers for tho the service. Electr	define "communications communications communications communications services or a se services, regardless of the tectronic communications services ves users to send or receive wire	provider that bills hnology used to provide would be defined as a
	The bill would tal	ke effect September 1, 2007.	

HB 428 House Research Organization page 2

SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 428 would grant the Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ) limited subpoena authority to gather evidence related to illegal use of cell phones by prison inmates. Last year, TDCJ seized more than 300 cell phones from offenders. In order to determine the origin of the confiscated phones, TDCJ must obtain subscriber information and service information. Communications companies, property protecting the privacy of their customers, will surrender this information only if they are subpoenaed. Currently, TDCJ's Office of the Inspector General (OIG) must convince a prosecutor to bring the matter before a grand jury, which decides whether to allow the issuance of the subpoena. OIG also may instigate full criminal proceedings and go through the district court to obtain a subpoena. Administrative subpoenas currently are authorized for some state agencies to aid in gathering evidence. These include inspectors general of the Health and Human Services Commission and various licensing agencies, such as the Texas Medical Board and the boards that regulate engineers, real estate agents, and plumbers, among others. Administrative subpoenas are issued on the authority of the agency empowered to use them, but they
OPPONENTS	also are subject to judicial review in order to prevent abuse. No apparent opposition.
SAY:	