HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION b	nill analysis	5/9/2013	HB 454 Dukes, et al. (CSHB 454 by Farney)
		0,7,2010	(00112 10 10) 1 4410
SUBJECT:	Creating caregi	ver authorization affidavits	to enroll a child in school
COMMITTEE:	Judiciary and Civil Jurisprudence — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	/OTE: 8 ayes — Lewis, Farrar, Farney, Gooden, Hunter, K. Kin S. Thompson		
	0 nays		
	1 absent — Her	nandez Luna	
WITNESSES:	Network of You		as; Duncan Cormie, Texas s, Alliance for Texas Families; ris, Texans Care for Children)
	Against — Non	le	
	, U	<i>red, but did not testify:</i> Pam tective Services)	ela Parker, Department of
BACKGROUND:	public school to of the child und both parents of	the child's parent, guardian ler a court order. Family Co a child to enter into a numb of the child, including an ag	e permitted to enroll a child in a n, or a person with legal control de, sec. 34.002 allows one or per of authorization agreements reement allowing the relative to
DIGEST:	its use by a chil would be requir	d's relative to enroll the chi	orization affidavit and authorize ild in public school. The relative the child's parents could be ily with the caregiver.
	least one of whe notarized. It wo objected to it or	affidavit would need to be om was not related to the ch ould remain valid until the ch the child no longer resided and specify that a caretaking	hild's parent or guardian with the caregiver.
	CD11D +J4 WUU	na specify that a carctakilig	

## HB 454 House Research Organization page 2

	authorization agreement or an authorization affidavit would have parental rights and responsibilities in the public school system. The affidavit would not affect the rights of a parent or guardian regarding their child's care or custody, and would not transfer legal custody of the child to the caregiver.
	The bill would provide a model caregiver's authorization affidavit and would require that the Texas Education Agency (TEA) develop one in substantially the same form and make it available on the agency's website. The commissioner of education would be required to adopt rules to ensure the affidavit was accepted by schools.
	CSHB 454 would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2013. The affidavit would be valid in schools beginning with the 2013-14 school year.
SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 454 would reduce lapses in children's schooling. Currently, children being raised by a relative without parental involvement cannot be enrolled in a public school unless the relative obtains either legal custody of the child or a court order to enroll them in school. This process can be lengthy and expensive, causing already vulnerable children to fall behind their peers in the classroom.
	The bill would not infringe upon parents' legal rights, and the benefit of increased attendance for students and society would vastly outweigh any minor obligations placed on TEA.
OPPONENTS SAY:	Implementing CSHB 454 would pose an administrative burden to TEA. The agency scarcely has the staff to carry out its existing duties without being required to follow yet another government mandate.