HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill digest	5/8/2015	HB 2524 Coleman (CSHB 2524 by Farias)
SUBJECT:	Expanding certain legal services provided to a county auditor		
COMMITTEE:	County Affairs — committee substitute recommended		
VOTE:	7 ayes — Coleman,	Farias, Burrows, Romer	ro, Schubert, Tinderholt, Wu
	1 nay — Spitzer		
	1 absent — Sticklan	ıd	
WITNESSES:	For — Katie Conne Auditors	r and Edward Dion, Tex	as Association of County
	Against — None		
	Texas; Donald Lee, Texas District and C	Texas Conference of Un County Attorneys Associ	nmissioners Association of ban Counties; Robert Kepple, ation; (<i>Registered, but did not</i> missioners Association of
BACKGROUND:	request, to give to a	county or precinct offic	rict or county attorneys, on ial of their district or county a the official duties of that
	employee sued by a employee serves, fo		county that the official or the performance of a public
		county auditor is not spe district or county attorn	cifically entitled to the advice eys described above.
DIGEST:		• •	official, including county ict or county attorney any

HB 2524 House Research Organization page 2

opinion or advice related to official duties of the position, including statutory interpretation of the official's duties. This would amend language in current law requiring that district and county attorneys provide this information upon request.

By the 30th day after the written request was submitted, the district or county attorney would be required to:

- grant the request and provide the written opinion or advice;
- deny the request in writing; or
- provide written notice to the official that the request could not be answered within the required time frame and give a reasonable date by which the request would be answered.

This bill would entitle officials, including county auditors, to legal representation from the district or county attorney if a suit arose from the official's performance of a public duty as a result of following the opinion or advice given by the district or county attorney.

The official would not be entitled to legal representation if the official sought and received legal advice but did not implement the advice and a suit arose from the official's failure to implement the advice. The bill would require the official to personally reimburse the county for any damages incurred by the county as a result of the official's failure to implement the advice.

This bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2015, and would apply only to a written request submitted by an official on or after that date.