5/26/2015

SB 521 Fraser Keffer

SUBJECT: Extending the period for TCEO emergency authorization of surface water

COMMITTEE: Natural Resources — favorable, without amendment

VOTE: 10 ayes — Keffer, Ashby, D. Bonnen, Burns, Frank, Kacal, T. King,

Larson, Lucio, Workman

0 nays

1 absent — Nevárez

SENATE VOTE: On final passage, April 20 — 29 - 1 (Huffman)

WITNESSES: For — (*Registered*, but did not testify: Heather Cooke, City of Austin;

Dana Harris, Greater Austin Chamber of Commerce; Conrad John, Travis

County Commissioners Court)

Against — None

On — Robert Martinez, Texas Commission on Environmental Quality;

(Registered, but did not testify: Kellye Rila, Texas Commission on

Environmental Quality)

BACKGROUND: Texas Water Code, sec. 11.139 allows the Texas Commission on

> Environmental Quality (TCEQ) to grant an emergency permit, order, or amendment to an existing surface water rights permit, after notice to the governor, for an initial period of up to 120 days if the TCEQ finds that emergency conditions exist that present an imminent threat to the public health and safety and override the necessity to comply with established procedures. An emergency authorization can be granted only if there are no feasible practicable alternatives. Such emergency action may be

renewed once for up to 60 days.

DIGEST: SB 521 would amend Texas Water Code, sec. 11.139, by increasing the

initial period of an emergency authorization from no more than 120 days

to no more than 270 days.

SB 521 House Research Organization page 2

This bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2015.

SUPPORTERS SAY:

SB 521 would ensure that TCEQ emergency orders could sufficiently cover more long-term emergency conditions, such as drought. Extending an emergency order from up to 120 days to up to 270 days, plus one 60-day extension, would allow the emergency order to remain in place for almost a year if conditions were warranted.

OPPONENTS SAY:

Allowing an emergency order to remain in place for almost a year could have a significant impact on other water right holders. Emergency authorizations should not be long-term. Planning for water supply needs based on a repeat of the drought of record and the development of a drought contingency plan should prevent the need for a long-term emergency authorization.