HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION bill analysisHB 1020 3/29/2017Smithee, Farrar		
SUBJECT:	Allowing for the volunteer practice of law by an inactive atte	orney
COMMITTEE:	Judiciary and Civil Jurisprudence — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	8 ayes — Smithee, Gutierrez, Hernandez, Laubenberg, Murr, Neave, Rinaldi, Schofield	
	0 nays	
	1 absent — Farrar	
WITNESSES:	For — Alberto Mesta, Poverty Law Section of the State Bar Patricia McAllister, Texas Access to Justice Commission; B (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Randall Chapman, Texas Leg Center)	eeral Gupta;
	Against — None	
BACKGROUND:	Government Code, sec. 81.052(b) allows an active member of Bar of Texas to request inactive status. Sec. 81.053(a) prohil inactive member from practicing law in this state.	
DIGEST:	HB 1020 would allow the Texas Supreme Court to issue rule inactive members of the State Bar of Texas to perform volur work.	
	The bill would take effect September 1, 2017.	
SUPPORTERS SAY:	HB 1020 would expand the pool of attorneys available to per aid work. The demand for legal aid services currently exceed of available attorneys. This bill would help more individuals speedy resolutions to their legal problems by allowing the Te Court to promulgate rules for volunteer practice.	ls the capacity obtain just,
	As part of its rule-making authority, the Supreme Court wou public was protected and provided qualified legal representa	

HB 1020 House Research Organization page 2

	Volunteer inactive attorneys would be held to the same ethical and legal standards as any other member of the bar.	
	An attorney with inactive status remains eligible for active membership, out inactive status is frequently claimed when an attorney retires. These ndividuals have a wealth of knowledge and experience that would make hem valuable resources in the underserved legal aid sector.	
	Attorneys also sometimes request inactive status to focus on family responsibilities, such as taking care of a newborn or an elderly or infirm family member. This bill would allow them to stay involved in the legal sector while also serving their communities, allowing for smoother potential reentry.	
OPPONENTS SAY:	No apparent opposition.	
NOTES:	A companion bill, SB 435 by Rodríguez, was referred to the Senate State Affairs Committee on February 6.	