HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis	5/2/2017	HB 1793 Pickett (CSHB 1793 by Morrison)	
SUBJECT:	Exempting certain commercial motor vehicles from state inspection			
COMMITTEE:	Transportation — committee substitute recommended			
VOTE:	13 ayes — Morrison, Martinez, Burkett, Y. Davis, Goldman, Israel, Minjarez, Phillips, Pickett, Simmons, E. Thompson, S. Thompson, Wray			
	0 nays			
WITNESSES:	For — John Esparza, Texas Trucking Association; Alan Riddick, TNT Crane and Rigging; (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Greg Macksood, Anheuser-Busch; Dan Hinkle, Association of Energy Service Companies; Randy Teakell, AT&T Robert Turner, Earthmoving Contractors Association of Texas; Martin Hubert, Sysco Corporation; Ronald Hufford, Texas Forestry Association; Michael Nowels, Texas State Inspection Association; Laird Doran, The Friedkin Group, U.S. AutoLogistics) Against — None			
	On — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Jimmy Archer, Texas Department of Motor Vehicles; James Bass, Texas Department of Transportation; Pablo Luna and Chris Nordloh, Texas Department of Public Safety)			
BACKGROUND:	registered in Tex allows the Texas	as to meet Texas inspection Department of Motor Vel	a commercial motor vehicle on standards. Sec. 502.091 hicles to enter into an e vehicles of Texas residents.	
	37 TAC, part 1, chap. 4, subch. C, sec. 4.37 recognizes Washington, D.C. and 19 other states as having inspection programs that meet federal standards equivalent to those required for a Texas-registered commercial vehicle.			
DIGEST:		ald exempt a commercial mements if the vehicle:	notor vehicle from state	

HB 1793 House Research Organization page 2

- was not domiciled in Texas;
- was registered in Texas or under the International Registration Plan; and
- had been issued a certificate of inspection in compliance with federal motor carrier safety regulations.

A vehicle exempted from state inspection requirements still would be required to pay any fees that would apply if the vehicle were subject to the inspection requirements, including a \$50 commercial motor vehicle inspection fee and a \$10 Texas emission reduction plan fee.

This bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2017.

SUPPORTERS
SAY:
CSHB 1793 would allow Texas-based companies with trucks primarily located in other states to be exempt from Texas inspection requirements, removing a burden that has imposed a heavy cost on Texas companies. To comply with current law, companies with trucks stationed in many other states must have those trucks driven from all over the country back to Texas, resulting in significant lost time and revenue, as well as fuel, labor, and maintenance costs. While some other states have inspection programs that meet Texas standards, many are located thousands of miles away and do not offer a practical alternative for commercial vehicles domiciled in Oklahoma or Louisiana, for example.

Without this bill, many companies may decide to move their headquarters to other states that do not require state inspections, which would hurt the Texas economy. Many companies want to remain in Texas but the cost of returning trucks each year for inspection is becoming too great.

The bill would not lower safety standards. Trucks still would have to receive inspections that comply with Federal Motor Carrier Safety regulations, which apply in all states. Commercial vehicles inspected in other states still would pay Texas fees, so the bill would not result in a cost to the state.

HB 1793 House Research Organization page 3

OPPONENTS SAY:	No apparent opposition.
NOTES:	The committee substitute differs from the bill as filed in that CSHB 1793 would require commercial vehicles that were not inspected in Texas to pay any fees that would apply if the vehicle had been inspected here.
	A companion bill, SB 1093 by Hancock, was reported favorably as substituted by the Senate Transportation Committee on April 3.