HOUSE RESEARCH			HB 1941 (2nd reading) Phelan	
ORGANIZATION	bill digest	5/6/2019	(CSHB 1941 by Darby)	
SUBJECT:	Prohibiting certain pricing by freestanding emergency rooms			
COMMITTEE:	Business and Industry — committee substitute recommended			
VOTE:	8 ayes — Martinez Fischer, Darby, Collier, Landgraf, Moody, Parker, Patterson, Shine			
	0 nays			
	1 absent — Beckley			
WITNESSES:	For — Blake Hutson, AARP Texas; Paul Hain, Blue Cross Blue Shield of Texas; Jason Baxter, Texas Association of Health Plans; (<i>Registered, but</i> <i>did not testify</i> : Billy Phenix, America's Health Insurance Plans; Stacey Pogue, Center for Public Policy Priorities; Robin Vincent and Donna Warndof, Harris County Human Resources Risk Management; Bill Kelly, City of Houston Mayor's Office; John Esparza, Texas Trucking Association; Sandy Dunn)			
	Against — None			
	Triangle Emergency Esther Chavez, Offic Association of Frees	or, Code 3 Emergency Partners Center; Casey Fisher, Legacy F e of the Attorney General; Rho tanding Emergency Centers; (<i>R</i> Department of State Health Sec	ER & Urgent Care; nda Sandel, Texas egistered, but did not	
BACKGROUND:	deceptive acts or pra- unlawful. Sec. 17.47 Consumer Protection	erce Code sec. 17.46 declares factices in the conduct of any trace authorizes the Office of the Att Division to bring an action in the aging in an unlawful trade pract	le or commerce torney General's the name of the state	
DIGEST:	practices" would incl	he term "false, misleading, or d lude a freestanding emergency of care at an unconscionable price	care facility that	

HB 1941 House Research Organization page 2

charged an unconscionable price for emergency or other care.

The Office of the Attorney General's Consumer Protection Division could not bring an action for unconscionable pricing if the price alleged to be unconscionable was less than 200 percent of the average charge for the same or substantially similar care provided by hospital emergency rooms in the same or nearest county to the county in which the freestanding emergency medical care facility was located, according to data collected by the Department of State Health Services (DSHS).

If charge data was not available from DSHS, the attorney general could adopt rules designating another source of hospital charge data for use in establishing the average charge for emergency care or other care provided by hospital emergency rooms in order to determine whether a price was unconscionable.

In an action brought to enforce the provisions of the bill, the Consumer Protection Division could request and the trier of fact could award the recovery of reasonable attorney's fees, court costs, and reasonable expenses incurred by the division in obtaining a remedy.

The bill would not create any private cause of action for a false, misleading, or deceptive act.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2019.