HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill analysis 3/20/2019	HB 305 (2nd reading) Paul, et al. (CSHB 305 by P. King)
SUBJECT:	Requiring certain political subdivisions to post specific information online	
COMMITTEE:	State Affairs — committee substitute recommended	
VOTE:	13 ayes — Phelan, Hernandez, Deshotel, Guer Hunter, P. King, Parker, Raymond, E. Rodrigu	
	0 nays	
WITNESSES: For — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : James Lennon, Kingwood TEA Party; Terry Harper, RPT; Cary Cheshire, Texans for Fiscal Responsibility; Donnis Baggett, Texas Press Association)		kans for Fiscal
	Against — None	
	On — Mark Ramsey, Republican Party of Tex	xas, SREC SD7
BACKGROUND:	Government Code ch. 551 requires government notices of upcoming meetings and to keep min each open meeting.	-
DIGEST:	CSHB 305 would require certain political subdivisions to post online information regarding elections and meetings.	
	The bill would apply to political subdivisions impose a tax and that maintained a publicly ac January 1, 2019.	-
	 The bill would require such a subdivision to p website: its contact information; each elected officer; each candidate for elected office; the date and location of the next election the requirements and deadlines for filing 	on for officers;

HB 305 House Research Organization page 2

	elected office, continuously posted for at least one year before the election day for that office;each notice of a meeting; andeach record of a meeting.
	This bill would take effect on September 1, 2019, and would apply only to meetings held on or after that date.
SUPPORTERS SAY:	CSHB 305 would create greater transparency regarding meetings and elections for political subdivisions that have the authority to tax by requiring them to post information online.
	Special districts with taxing power need to be held to the same democratic standards as the rest of government, and citizens subject to the decisions of these bodies should have the ability to keep informed of their activities, to participate in their decision-making process, and to run for their offices.
	Because the bill would apply only to districts that already had a public website, it would not impose an inappropriate burden on them.
OPPONENTS SAY:	No concerns identified.