HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill digest 5/21/2019	SB 41 (2nd reading) Zaffirini (Smithee)
SUBJECT:	Exempting certain attorneys ad litem, others from cour	
COMMITTEE:	Judiciary and Civil Jurisprudence — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	9 ayes — Leach, Farrar, Y. Davis, Julie Johnson, Krause, Meyer, Neave, Smith, White	
	0 nays	
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage, March 19 — 31-0	
WITNESSES:	On House companion bill, HB 1285: For — Trish McAllister, Texas Access to Justice Com Weatherly, TEX-ABOTA; ( <i>Registered, but did not test</i> County Judges and Commissioners Association of Tex Probate Court of Travis County; Amy Bresnan, Texas Foundation; Alexis Tatum, Travis County Commission	<i>tify</i> : Jim Allison, xas; Guy Herman, Family Law
	Against — None	
BACKGROUND:	Government Code sec. 36.004 requires the clerk of eac to prepare a report on court appointments for attorneys ad litem, amicus attorneys, and mediators for cases bef preceding month.	s ad litem, guardians
	Sec. 37.004 requires the court to use a rotation system person who appears first on the applicable list in cases appointment of an attorney ad litem, guardian ad litem or mediator is necessary.	in which the
	Concerns have been raised that lawyers willing to be a above positions for certain matters pro bono have been doing so because they were not in the right spot in the that the rotation system is not practical for the first 30 disaster.	n prevented from rotation system and

## SB 41 House Research Organization page 2

DIGEST: SB 41 would exempt an attorney ad litem, guardian ad litem, amicus attorney, or mediator from having to be appointed using a rotation system or from being included in the required monthly report on court appointments if such person provided services without expectation or receipt of compensation or as a volunteer of a nonprofit organization providing pro bono legal services to the indigent.

> The bill also would allow a court to appoint as an attorney ad litem, guardian ad litem, amicus attorney, or mediator any person who was on the applicable list or, if not on such a list, met statutory or other requirements to serve if an initial declaration of a state of disaster had been made for the area served by the court within 30 days before appointment.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2019.