HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill digest	4/28/2021	HB 3111 (2nd reading) Meyer, et al. (CSHB 3111 by K. Bell)	
SUBJECT:	Revising offense of online solicitation of a minor			
COMMITTEE:	Criminal Jurisprudence — committee substitute recommended			
VOTE:	9 ayes — Collier, K. Bell, Cason, Cook, Crockett, Hinojosa, A. Johnson, Murr, Vasut			
	0 nays			
WITNESSES:	For —Lindsey Dula, Alliance For Children; Chara McMichael, BCFS Health and Human Services Human Trafficking Interdiction; Carrie Paschall, Dallas Children's Advocacy Center and CACTX; Sonya Ryan, The Carly Ryan Foundation; Tony Godwin; Brandon Poor; (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Jamey Caruthers, Children at Risk; Jennifer Szimanski, Combined Law Enforcement Associations of Texas; Frederick Frazier, Dallas Police Association/FOP716 State FOP Director; James Parnell, Dallas Police Association; Traci Berry, Goodwill Central Texas; George Craig, Houston Police Department; Ray Hunt, HPOU; Joseph Scaramucci, McLennan County Sheriff's Office; Laura Nodolf, Midland County District Attorney's Office; Jo Cassandra Cuevas, Operation Texas Shield; Jimmy Rodriguez, San Antonio Police Officers Association; Lindy Borchardt, for Sharen Wilson, Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney; Tom Maddox, Sheriff's Association of Texas; Rachana Chhin, Texas Catholic Conference; John Wilkerson, Texas Municipal Police Association; Thomas Parkinson)			
	Against — None			
	On — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Cara Pierce, Office of the Attorney General)			
BACKGROUND:	The offense can be co mail, text messages, a knowingly solicit a m	21 makes the online solicitatio ommitted if an individual uses a similar system, or a commerce inor to meet another person, in the that the minor will engage	the internet, electronic cial online service, to ncluding the	

HB 3111 House Research Organization page 2

sexual intercourse, or deviate sexual intercourse with the actor or another person. Concerns have been raised that language in current statue is too narrow to take into account instances in which the action does not fit under the current language but would have the same effect as soliciting a minor, such as when someone solicits another to bring a minor to a meeting for sex. DIGEST: CSHB 3111 would expand the offense of online solicitation of a minor to make it an offense if an individual used the internet, electronic mail, text messages, a similar system or a commercial online service to knowingly solicit a person with the intent that the solicitation will cause a minor to meet another person to engage in sexual contact, sexual intercourse, or deviate sexual intercourse. The bill would rename the offense from "online solicitation of a minor" to "online solicitation relating to a minor" and would add it to the lists of offenses for which judges and juries cannot impose community supervision.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2021.