HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION		(2nd reading) HB 1927 Hull et al.
SUBJECT:	Establishing certain requirements for the emergency detention of	children
COMMITTEE:	Youth Health & Safety, Select — favorable, without amendment	
VOTE:	7 ayes — S. Thompson, Hull, Allison, Dutton, A. Johnson, T. Ki Lozano	ng,
	0 nays	
	2 absent — Capriglione, Landgraf	
WITNESSES:	For — Lee Spiller, Citizens Commission on Human Rights (<i>Reg</i> but did not testify: Jacquie Benestante, Autism Society of Texas; Sparks, Buckner International; Aaryce Hayes, Disability Rights 7 Paige Duggins-Clay, IDRA; Lesley Rivas, Mexican American Se Boards Association; Hannah Gill, NAMI Texas; Abigail Findley Association of Social Workers- Texas Chapter; Martin Martinez, Appleseed; Amanda Afifi, Texas Association of School Psycholo Sarah Crockett, Texas CASA; Kate Murphy, Texans Care for Ch Jennifer Allmon, The Texas Catholic Conference of Bishops; Jen Fleck, Texas Education 911; Alycia Castillo, Texas Center for Ju Equality; Lauren Rose, Texas Network of Youth Services; Suzi I Texas PTA; Chelbi Mims, Texas Public Charter Schools Associa Jacob; Eve Margolis; Chris Masey)	Andrea Fexas; chool , National Texas ogists; ildren; nifer astice and Kennon,
	Against — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : James Parnell, Dallas Association; Ray Hunt, HPOU; John Wilkerson, Texas Municipa Association; AJ Louderback, Texas Sheriffs Regional Alliance)	
	On — (<i>Registered, but did not testify</i> : Amy Blakey, Eric Marin, . Porter, Texas Education Agency)	Justin
BACKGROUND:	Some have suggested that parents and legal guardians should be a peace officer initiates an emergency detention of their child in give the parents and guardians the opportunity to seek voluntary or services for their child.	order to

HB 1927 House Research Organization page 2

DIGEST: HB 1927 would require peace officers to use age-appropriate, traumainformed practices when responding to situations involving emergency detentions. The bill would provide to a parent, guardian, or other conservator of a child that was placed under emergency detention the right to take custody of the child. The bill also would allow the person standing in parental relation to the child to voluntarily seek treatment or services from a provider of the person's choice.

A peace officer, including a peace officer commissioned by a school district, could not place a child under emergency detention without first attempting to contact the child's parent, guardian, conservator, or other person standing in parental relation to the child and informing the person of their right to take custody of their child.

If the peace officer transported a child to a facility, the officer would be required to include a statement describing the officer's attempt to contact the person standing in parental relation to the child in the emergency detention form filed with the appropriate facility, as established in statute. The bill also would require that the officer's attempt at contact be filed in the child's student records with the school district and that the district forward a copy onto the child's parent or guardian.

A peace officer could not use handcuffs, electrical devices, chemical agents, or any similar devices intended to control or manage detainees in order to apprehend a child 10 years of age or younger for the purposes of emergency detention.

This bill would apply only to an apprehension for emergency detention that occurred on or after the effective date of this bill.

This bill would take effect September 1, 2023