HOUSE RESEARCH ORGANIZATION	bill digest 5/23/2023	SB 559 (2nd reading) Hughes et al. (Cain et al.)
SUBJECT:	Prohibiting certain State Bar of Texas policies	
COMMITTEE:	Judiciary & Civil Jurisprudence — favorable, withou	t amendment
VOTE:	5 ayes — Leach, Murr, Schofield, Slawson, Vasut	
	4 nays — Julie Johnson, Davis, Flores, Moody	
SENATE VOTE:	On final passage (March 15) — 21 - 9	
WITNESSES:	None	
BACKGROUND:	Some have suggested that prohibiting the State Bar of adopting certain rules would help to protect members could limit a person's ability to obtain, maintain, or re- based on the person's religious beliefs or exercise of f association.	from policies that enew a law license
DIGEST:	SB 559 would prohibit the State Bar of Texas from a policy, or imposing a penalty that:	dopting a rule or
	<ul> <li>limited an applicant's ability to obtain a law lice member's ability to maintain or renew their lice sincerely held religious belief; or</li> <li>burdened the applicant's or member's free exert freedom of speech or expression, membership organization, or freedom of association.</li> </ul>	ense based on a rcise of religion,
	This prohibition would not apply to a rule, policy, or essential to enforcing a compelling governmental pur narrowly tailored to accomplish that purpose, or that expressions of bias or prejudice relating to an adjudic	pose and was restricted willful

A person could use a violation of the bill's prohibition on certain State Bar rules, policies, or penalties as a defense in an administrative hearing or as a claim or defense in certain judicial proceedings. A person could not

## SB 559 House Research Organization page 2

assert the violation as a defense to an allegation of sexual misconduct or the prosecution of an offense. A person could bring an action for injunctive relief for a violation of the bill's provisions.

The bill would take immediate effect if finally passed by a two-thirds record vote of the membership of each house. Otherwise, it would take effect September 1, 2023.